

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
FORT WORTH DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

NATHAN REIS (01)  
STEPHANIE HOCKRIDGE (02)  
a/k/a STEPHANIE REIS

No. 4:24-CR-287-O

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER  
GOVERNING DISCLOSURE OF DISCOVERY MATERIALS**

Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the government files this Unopposed Motion for a Protective Order Governing Disclosure of Discovery Materials to protect individually identifiable information, financial information, and other confidential information; protect ongoing criminal investigations; maintain the integrity of the judicial process; and to promote timely resolution of this case. Specifically, the government proposes the following:

1. That any discovery materials (or copies thereof) provided by the government to the defense—including any defendants that may be charged later, and regardless of when such materials were produced—in this case pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; 18 U.S.C. § 3500; *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny; or *United States v. Giglio* and its progeny be considered “**Confidential Information**.”
2. That **Confidential Information** disclosed to a defendant or defense counsel in this case during the course of proceedings in this action:

- a. Shall be used by the defendant and defense counsel only for purposes of defending this criminal action;
- b. Shall not be disclosed in any form by the defendant or defense counsel except as set forth in paragraph 2(c) below; and
- c. May be disclosed by the defendant or defense counsel in this action only to the following persons (“**Designated Persons**”):
  - i. investigative, secretarial, clerical, paralegal and student personnel employed full-time or part-time by the defendant’s attorney;
  - ii. witnesses, potential witnesses, or an attorney for a witness or potential witness, so long as any person shown **Confidential Information** must be informed that the information is protected by a Court order that prohibits disclosure of the **Confidential Information** to any person other than representatives of the defense or the government in this case;
  - iii. independent expert witnesses, investigators, or expert advisors retained—pursuant to a written retainer agreement—in connection with this action; and
  - iv. such other persons as hereafter may be authorized by agreement, in writing, of the parties or by the Court upon the defendant’s motion.

3. That **Confidential Information** disclosed to a defendant or defense counsel during the course of proceedings in this action, including any and all copies made of said material, shall, at the conclusion of this matter, either be returned to the Government or shredded and destroyed. This matter will be concluded upon expiration of the period for direct appeal from any verdict in the above-captioned case, the period of direct appeal from any order dismissing any of the charges in the above-captioned case, or the granting of any motion made on behalf of the Government dismissing any charges in the above-captioned case, whichever date is latest.

4. That a defendant and defense counsel shall provide a copy of this Order to **Designated Persons** to whom they disclose **Confidential Information** pursuant to paragraphs 2(c)(i), (ii) and (iii). **Designated Persons** shall be subject to the terms of this Order and shall sign an acknowledgment, to be retained by the defendant's counsel, indicating that they have received and reviewed the terms of this Order and understand that they are bound by it before being provided with, shown, or read the contents of any materials produced pursuant to terms of this Order.

5. That a defendant and defense counsel will not attach any materials produced pursuant to this Order to any public filings with the Court or publicly disclose any such materials, or their contents in any other manner, without prior notice to the Government. If the defense and the Government cannot agree on the manner in which the documents or their contents may be publicly disclosed, the parties shall seek resolution of such disagreements by the Court.

6. That if any dispute should arise between the parties to this action as to whether any documents, materials or other information is **Confidential Information** subject to the provisions of this Order, such documents, materials and information shall be considered **Confidential Information** pending further Order of this Court.

7. That the provisions of this Order shall not be construed as preventing the disclosure of any information in any motion, hearing or trial held in this action or to any District Judge or Magistrate Judge of this Court for purposes of this action. Nor shall the provisions of this Order be construed as preventing disclosure of any information in the

public domain or information obtained independently from the sources described in Paragraph 1.

**I. Background**

On November 14, 2024, a Fort Worth grand jury returned an indictment charging Reis and Hockridge with one count of conspiracy to commit wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349 (18 U.S.C. § 1343), and four counts of wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343. The indictment alleges that the defendants conspired and schemed to defraud the Small Business Administration by submitting and causing to be submitted materially false applications for loans under the Paycheck Protection Program.

On November 21, 2024, Reis was arrested and had his initial appearance in the District of Puerto Rico. On the same date, Hockridge was served with a summons requiring her to appear before the Court in the Fort Worth Division of the Northern District of Texas on November 25, 2024. Reis was ordered to appear on the same day before the same Northern District of Texas Court.

Due to the nature of the offense, the discovery in the government's possession includes large amounts of confidential information, including personal loan information, bank information, and content from email search warrant returns.

**II. Authority for a Protective Order**

This Court possesses the express authority to enter protective orders to handle all matters of a private or sensitive nature. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(d) (discovery protective orders); 49.1(e) (protective orders concerning matters of privacy and personal information). Specifically, Rule 16(d)(1) permits courts to enter protective orders that

deny, restrict, or defer discovery or inspection, or grant other appropriate relief for good cause. *Id.* Indeed, “[a] trial court can and should, where appropriate, place a defendant and his counsel under enforceable orders against unwarranted disclosure of the [surveillance] materials which they may be entitled to inspect.” *Alderman v. United States*, 394 U.S. 165, 185 (1969).

The government has consulted with counsel for Reis and Hockridge, neither of whom oppose this motion.

### **III. Discovery is Voluminous and Contains Protected Information**

Discovery in this case is voluminous. In the government’s initial production, there are over one million documents (not including potentially privileged materials). The government also has recently obtained an extraction of a cell phone from a cooperating defendant, which it anticipates producing shortly. This voluminous discovery is replete with personal identifying information and other confidential information. The government has obtained communications, bank account, and other information of coconspirators, including coconspirators not named in the indictment, through a variety of means, including grand jury subpoenas, search warrants, and voluntary disclosures from witnesses, subjects, and coconspirators.

Searching through and redacting one million documents is overly burdensome and impracticable. Thus, to facilitate the timely resolution of the case, the government intends to go beyond its discovery obligations by making a significant amount of the discovery available unredacted through a secure electronic file exchange and/or encrypted defense-provided external media, if it can be assured that the materials in this case will be

adequately protected. The exchange/encrypted media will enable defense attorneys to securely access the discovery and allow them to make hard copies of the discovery if they so choose. Accordingly, to safely and timely facilitate the discovery in this case and to protect the integrity of the ongoing criminal investigation, the government seeks the proposed protective order.

**IV. Conclusion**

The government respectfully requests that the Court enter a protective order limiting the disclosure of discovery materials in this case as described above for all defendants, including any additional defendants who may be added later. The government believes these proposed restrictions constitute the least restrictive measures available to protect the various interests involved in this case, including the defendants' interest in full and efficient discovery and a speedy resolution of this case. The compelling interests of protecting personal identifying information and bank account information by preventing disclosure of this information to third parties constitutes good cause to enter the requested protective order.

Respectfully submitted,

LEIGHA SIMONTON  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

s/ Matthew Weybrecht  
MATTHEW WEYBRECHT  
Assistant United States Attorney  
State Bar of Texas No. 24102642  
Telephone: 817-252-5200  
Fax: 817-252-5455  
matthew.weybrecht@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that on November 25, 2024, counsel for the government consulted with counsel for Reis and counsel for Hockridge both have advised they are unopposed to the motion.

*s/ Matthew Weybrecht*  
MATTHEW WEYBRECHT  
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 25, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the court. The electronic case filing system sent a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to the attorney(s) of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means.

*s/ Matthew Weybrecht*  
MATTHEW WEYBRECHT  
Assistant United States Attorney